HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Writ Petition (C) No. 2070 of 2007

Petitioners	1.	Jasvinder Singh Gill, S/o. Shri Jagtar Singh, aged about 29 years.
	2.	Smt. Anshul Gill (Sharma), D/o. Shri A.R.K. Sharma, aged about 24 years.
		Both R/o. Alankar Gift House, Approach Road, Camp-2, Bhilai, District Durg, CG.
		Versus
Respondents	1.	Shri A.R.K. Sharma, R/o. E-4, Irrigation Colony, Katora Talab, Raipur District, Raipur, CG.
	2.	Inspector General of Police, Police Headquarter, Raipur, District Raipur, CG.
	3.	Director General of Police, Police Headquarter, Raipur, District Raipur, CG.
	4.	Superintendent of Police, Durg, District Durg, CG.
	5.	Thana Incharge, Thana Chhwani, Camp-2, Power House, Bhilai, District Durg, CG.

(Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India)

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri

Present: Smt. Fouzia Mirza, counsel for the petitioners.
Shri P.S. Koshy, counsel for respondent No.1.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for respondents. No. 2 to 5.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 28th June, 2007)

1) This petition is filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, by the petitioners.

(56)

2) Petitioner No.1 is the husband of petitioner No.2. Both the petitioners have attained the age of majority before entering into marital alliance held on 14-11-2006 and certificate thereof is produced at Annexure P/2.

3) Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1 on instructions, submits that admittedly the daughter of respondent No.1 is major and is free to exercise her will either to live with her parents or with the petitioner No.1.

4) Respondent No.1 disputes the factum of marriage between them. It is well settled principle of law that even though the marriage is disputed, if a major person decides to live with other major person, the parents cannot interfere with their lives.

5) Learned counsel appearing for respondents no. 2 to 5/the State authorities, on the basis of affidavit, submits that the State authorities will not interfere with their matrimonial life and if required, may grant protection to them.

6) In view of the foregoing, this petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

raju